

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				· ·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1	
09/682,713	10/09/2001	R. Mukund	17652-00012	3251	•	
23465 JOHN S. BEU	7590 09/10/2007 LICK		EXAMINER			
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P			
ONE METRO	POLITAN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	P.R.	
ST LOUIS, MO	O 63102-2740		3629			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
,		•	09/10/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/682,713	MUKUND, R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rist will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	S June 2007.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-18,20,23-36 and 38-42</u> is/are	pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-18,20,23-36 and 38-42</u> is/are	rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pr</li></ol>	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	<u>"П</u>	(DTO 440)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of In	formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u>_</u> ·			

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Claims 7, 19, 21, 22, and 37 have been cancelled and Claims 41 and 42 have been added; therefore, Claims 1-6, 8-18, 20, 23-36, and 38-42 are currently pending in application 09/682,713.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. <u>Claims 1-6, 8-18, 20, 23-36, and 38-42</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnan et al. (US 2002/0184068 A1).
- 4. As per independent Claims 1 and 17, Krishnan discloses a method for managing, storing, and disseminating compliance assurance (CA) information using a web-based system including a server system coupled to a centralized interactive database and at least one client system (Figs.3-4, Para 0013), said method comprising: receiving CA information at the server from a client system (Figs. 7-9, complete questionnaire to determine risk profile and regulation applicability; Para 0016); storing CA information and a plurality of audit checklists within the centralized database (Fig. 11; Para 0022,

Art Unit: 3629

Ļ

auditing checklists; Para 0124, reports equivalent to checklists); cross-referencing CA information (Para 0017); updating the centralized database periodically to maintain CA information (Para 0077; Fig.4, Para 0128: New Compliance Standards, and Para 0102: Customer information Database updated through cyclical questionnaire process): displaying on a client system a compliance calendar option (Fig.13), an audit tracking option (Fig. 13, Tracking Action Items), and audit tool option (Para 0124, Para 0124, Fig.13, reports and policies links), wherein the compliance calendar option causes to be displayed on the client system CA tasks to be performed and corresponding CA deadlines for a selected location (Fig.13-15), wherein the audit tracking option prompts the user to input audit information for a selected location (Fig.14), and wherein the audit tool option prompts the user to select at least one audit checklist from the plurality of audit checklists stored within the database (Para 0124, operations and compliance reports equivalent to checklists); processing at the server an inquiry submitted by the user including a selection of one of the options displayed on the client system (Fig.13, user selects a reports or policies link; or Fig.14, user selects location and period); providing CA information in response to the inquiry (Fig. 13, system provides reports for data entry; Fig. 14, system provides location specific information); notifying users electronically of CA tasks to be performed at a selected location and corresponding CA deadlines (Fig.15, Today's Tasks, Key Reminders; Fig. 18, Due Date; Para 0017, enabling information); tracking the CA tasks to be performed at the selected location and the corresponding CA deadlines to ensure compliance (Fig.13; Para 0017-0018, track completion of tasks); and generating a

CA task summary report <u>for the</u> manager responsible for managing compliance for <u>the</u> plurality of locations (Fig.18, Para 0073).

- 5. Krishnan fails to expressly disclose storing a plurality of *predetermined* audit checklists within the centralized database.
- 6. However, Krishnan does disclose storing a plurality of rules, regulations, and standards within the centralized database (Fig.4), and determining a customized audit report (checklist) for company specific sites/managers (Fig. 11; Para 0022, auditing checklists; Para 0124, reports equivalent to checklists).
- 7. Therefore, Krishnan system is considered by the Examiner to be an advancement on the instant application, an it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to simply generate a generic report of compliance needs based on the saved rules, regulations, and standards within the centralized database.
- 8. Furthermore, Krishnan fails to expressly disclose determining and providing/generating a summary report which indicates active (at a selected time) CA tasks, CA tasks completed year to date, total CA tasks year to date, percentage of CA tasks completed year to date, and total CA tasks past due for a plurality of locations assigned to a manager.
- 9. However, Krishnan does disclose wherein the system is designed to determine, track, and report a plurality of compliance assurance information (Fig.14, Para 0126). The Display tool shown in Fig.14 by Krishnan is designed to display all available compliance information separately or aggregated to user based on location and time, and it would have been obvious to display the CA information to the user in any location/time/information combinational format (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] "The

assigned to a manager is available to the system).

Art Unit: 3629

combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results."). Furthermore, Krishnan disclosed collecting/saving the equivalent information and/or information necessary to determine the equivalent information (Fig.11 and Figs.13-15) disclosed by the instant application (CA tasks, CA tasks completed year to date, total CA tasks year to date, percentage of CA tasks completed year to date, and total CA tasks past due for a plurality of locations

- 10. As per Claims 2 and 23, Krishnan discloses wherein receiving CA information comprises receiving at least one of business information, organizational information, site information, assigned contact person information, COE/department information, building information, CA audit tracking information, CA task information, CA calendar information, CA task reminder information, frequency of reminder information, environmental information, health and safety information, quality information, legal information, human resources information, management information, and corporate compliance information (Figs.8-10, user completes questionnaire provides business and site information).
- 11. As per Claim 3, Krishnan discloses wherein cross-referencing CA information further comprises compiling a user schedule using CA information regarding tasks being performed (Figs. 13-18, schedule of tasks/action items).
- 12. As per Claims 4 and 25, Krishnan discloses wherein cross-referencing CA information further comprises creating a user CA calendar based on at least one of previously created

user CA calendars and changes in CA audit tracking information (Para 0122, Fig.13, notifying user of overdue action items).

- 13. As per Claim 5, Krishnan discloses wherein providing CA information comprises: displaying information to a user identifying at least one of a site location and a CA task to be performed at a site location; and receiving an inquiry from the client system regarding at least one of a site location and a CA task to be performed at a site location (Fig.15, Worker desktop is location specific).
- 14. As per Claim 6, Krishnan discloses wherein providing CA information comprises: displaying information on the client system regarding at least one of a site location, CA calendar, CA audit tracking system, CA audit tool, and CA contacts information; and receiving an inquiry from the client system regarding at least one of a site location, CA calendar, CA audit tracking system, CA audit tool, and CA contacts information (Figs. 13-15).
- 15. As per Claim 8, Krishnan discloses wherein providing CA information comprises providing at least one of business information, organizational information, site information, assigned contact person information, COE/department information, building information, CA audit tracking information, CA task information, CA calendar information, CA task reminder information, frequency of reminder information, environmental information, health and safety information, quality information, legal information, human resources information, management information, and corporate compliance information.

- 16. As per Claims 9 and 26, Krishnan discloses wherein notifying users comprises transmitting an electronic message to the client system from the server system notifying at least one user of a CA task to be performed and a CA audit tracking task to be performed (Fig.13, key reminders; Fig.14, alerts web-based communication).
- 17. As per Claim 18, Krishnan discloses wherein said client system further comprises at least one of: a displaying component for displaying at least one of a pull-down list, a check box, and hypertext link options relating to CA audit tracking information and CA scheduling information; a sending component to send an inquiry to the server system so that the server system can process and download the requested information to the client system; a collection component for collecting CA information from users into the centralized database; a tracking component for tracking CA information; a displaying component for displaying CA information on at least one site location; a receiving component for receiving an inquiry from the client system regarding at least one of business information, organizational information, site information, assigned contact person information, COE/department information, building information, CA audit tracking information, CA task information, CA calendar information, CA task reminder information, frequency of reminder information, environmental information, health and safety information, quality information, legal information, human resources information, management information, and corporate compliance information; an accessing component for accessing the centralized database and causing the retrieved information to be displayed on the client system; and a notifying component for electronically notifying users of CA tasks and CA deadlines (Figs. 13-15, Desktop tools).

Art Unit: 3629

- 18. As per Claim 20, Krishnan discloses wherein said server system further comprises a processing component for searching and processing received inquiries against the database containing information collected by the collection component, and for cross-referencing at least one of CA calendar information, CA task information, and CA audit tracking information (Fig.14, provided the location specific information).
- 19. As per Claim 24, Krishnan discloses wherein said server system further comprises at least one of a receiving component that receives information directly through the client system, and a receiving component that receives information in a pre-determined format established for inputting CA information (Fig.14, request received through user desktop, in drop-down box format).
- 20. As per **new** Claim 41, Krishnan discloses wherein displaying on a client system the audit tool option further comprises displaying topical categories on the client system wherein each of the predetermined audit checklists are organized within one of the topical categories, wherein the topical categories including environmental, health and safety, transportation, and construction safety (Fig.14, Para 0126).
- 21. As per **new** Claim 42, Krishnan discloses wherein displaying on a client system the audit tool option further comprises enabling the user to select a topical category to display each of the predetermined audit checklists organized within the selected topical category (Fig.14).
- 22. As per **independent Claims 11 and 27**, Krishnan discloses a method for managing, storing, and disseminating compliance assurance (CA) information using a web-based system including a server system coupled to a centralized interactive database (Figs.3-4,

Para 0013), at least one managerial user system (Fig.3, Manager Worker, Executive), and at least one client system (Fig. 3, component 300), said method comprising: receiving CA information at the server from a client system (Figs. 7-9, complete questionnaire to determine risk profile and regulation applicability; Para 0016), said CA information comprising site information including environmental information, health and safety information, legal information, corporate compliance information, and contacts information (Figs. 8-10 and 14, Para 0022); storing CA information and a plurality of audit checklists within the centralized database (Fig. 11; Para 0022, auditing checklists; Para 0124, reports equivalent to checklists); cross-referencing CA information (Para 0017); updating the centralized database periodically to maintain CA information (Para 0077; Fig.4, Para 0128: New Compliance Standards, and Para 0102: Customer information Database updated through cyclical questionnaire process); displaying on a client system a compliance calendar option (Fig. 13), an audit tracking option (Fig. 13, Tracking Action Items), and audit tool option (Para 0124, Para 0124, Fig. 13, reports and policies links), wherein the compliance calendar option causes to be displayed on the client system CA tasks to be performed and corresponding CA deadlines for a selected location (Fig.13), wherein the audit tracking option prompts the user to input audit information for a selected location (Fig.14), and wherein the audit tool option prompts the user to select at least one audit checklist from the plurality of audit checklists stored within the database (Para 0124, operations and compliance reports equivalent to checklists); processing at the server an inquiry submitted by the user including a selection of one of the options displayed on the client system (Fig.13, user selects a reports or

Art Unit: 3629

Page 10

policies link; or Fig.14, user selects location and period); providing CA information in response to the inquiry (Fig.13, system provides reports for data entry; Fig.14, system provides location specific information); notifying users electronically of CA tasks to be performed at a selected location and corresponding CA deadlines (Fig.15, Today's Tasks, Key Reminders; Fig.18, Due Date; Para 0017, enabling information); tracking the CA tasks to be performed at the selected location and the corresponding CA deadlines to ensure compliance (Fig.13; Para 0017-0018, track completion of tasks); and providing an electronic report of the CA tasks to be performed and the CA deadlines to the managerial user system (Fig.18, Para 0073).

- 23. Krishnan fails to expressly disclose storing a plurality of *predetermined* audit checklists within the centralized database.
- 24. However, Krishnan does disclose storing a plurality of rules, regulations, and standards within the centralized database (Fig.4), and determining a customized audit report (checklist) for company specific sites/managers (Fig. 11; Para 0022, auditing checklists; Para 0124, reports equivalent to checklists).
- 25. Therefore, Krishnan system is considered by the Examiner to be an advancement on the instant application, an it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to simply generate a generic report of compliance needs based on the saved rules, regulations, and standards within the centralized database.
- 26. Furthermore, Krishnan fails to expressly disclose determining and providing/generating a summary report which indicates active (at a selected time) CA tasks, CA tasks completed

Art Unit: 3629

year to date, total CA tasks year to date, percentage of CA tasks completed year to date, and total CA tasks past due for a plurality of locations assigned to a manager.

- 27. However, Krishnan does disclose wherein the system is designed to determine, track, and report a plurality of compliance assurance information (Fig. 14, Para 0126). The Display tool shown in Fig. 14 by Krishnan is designed to display all available compliance information separately or aggregated to user based on location and time, and it would have been obvious to display the CA information to the user in any location/time/information combinational format (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] "The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results."). Furthermore, Krishnan disclosed collecting/saving the equivalent information and/or information necessary to determine the equivalent information (Fig. 11 and Figs. 13-15) disclosed by the instant application (CA tasks, CA tasks completed year to date, total CA tasks year to date, percentage of CA tasks completed year to date, and total CA tasks past due for a plurality of locations assigned to a manager is available to the system).
- 28. As per Claims 12 and 29, Krishnan discloses wherein receiving CA information comprises receiving requested information from the client system relating to certain topics including *at least one of* environment, *health and safety*, quality, legal, and *corporate compliance* (Figs.8-10, user completes questionnaire provides health and safety related information).

Art Unit: 3629

29. As per Claims 13 and 30, Krishnan discloses wherein processing at the server comprises using the audit tool to process the CA information to assure compliance with certain laws, rules, regulations, standards, and policies (Para 0016-0017).

- 30. As per Claims 14 and 31, Krishnan discloses wherein notifying users comprises transmitting an electronic message to the client system from the server system notifying the user of the CA tasks to be performed within a time period shown on the CA calendar such that compliance with certain laws, rules, regulations, standards, and policies relating to certain topics including at least environment, health and safety, quality, legal, and corporate compliance is assured (Fig.15, Today's Tasks, Key Reminders; Fig.18, Due Date; Para 0017, enabling information).
- 31. As per Claims 15 and 32, Krishnan discloses wherein providing an electronic report comprises transmitting an electronic report to the managerial user system from the server system comprising a summary of the CA tasks performed at a site location for a time period shown on the CA calendar such that managerial oversight of the CA information is facilitated and compliance with certain laws, rules, regulations, standards, and policies relating to certain topics including at least one of environment, health and safety, quality, legal, and corporate compliance is assured (Fig.13, Overdue Action Items indicator equivalent to summary report; Fig.18, Action Item report).
- 32. As per Claim 28, Krishnan discloses wherein providing CA information comprises: displaying option information on the client system regarding at least one of a site location, CA calendar, CA audit tracking system, CA audit tool, and CA contacts information (Figs.13-15); and receiving an inquiry from the client system regarding at

least one of *a site location*, CA calendar, CA audit tracking system, CA audit tool, and CA contacts information (Figs.14).

33. As per independent Claim 16, Krishnan discloses a method for manipulating CA information using a web-based system including a server system coupled to a centralized interactive database and at least one client system (Figs.3-4, Para 0013), said method comprising: receiving CA information at the server (Figs. 7-9, complete questionnaire to determine risk profile and regulation applicability; Para 0016) comprising business information, organizational information, site information, assigned contact person information, COE/department information, building information, CA audit tracking information, CA task information, CA calendar information, CA task reminder information, frequency of reminder information, environmental information, health and safety information, quality information, legal information, human resources information, management information, and corporate compliance information (Figs. 8-10 and 14, Para 0022, equivalent information types); storing CA information and a plurality of audit checklists within the centralized database (Fig. 11; Para 0022, auditing checklists; Para 0124, reports equivalent to checklists); updating the centralized database with CA information comprising adding and deleting information so as to revise existing CA information including at least one of CA task information, CA calendar information, and CA audit tracking information (Para 0077; Fig.4, Para 0128; New Compliance Standards, and Para 0102: Customer information Database updated through cyclical questionnaire process); displaying on a client system a compliance calendar option (Fig.13), an audit tracking option (Fig.13, Tracking Action Items), and audit tool option (Para 0124, Para

0124, Fig.13, reports and policies links), wherein the compliance calendar option causes to be displayed on the client system CA tasks to be performed and corresponding CA deadlines for a selected location (Fig.13), wherein the audit tracking option prompts the user to input audit information for a selected location (Fig.14), and wherein the audit tool option prompts the user to select at least one audit checklist from the plurality of audit checklists stored within the database (Para 0124, operations and compliance reports equivalent to checklists); processing at the server an inquiry submitted by the user including a selection of one of the options displayed on the client system (Fig.13, user selects a reports or policies link; or Fig.14, user selects location and period); providing CA information in response to the inquiry (Fig.13, system provides reports for data entry; Fig. 14, system provides location specific information) comprising business information, organizational information, site information, assigned contact person information, COE/department information, building information, CA audit tracking information, CA task information, CA calendar information, CA task reminder information, frequency of reminder information, environmental information, health and safety information, quality information, legal information, human resources information, management information, and corporate compliance information (Figs. 11, 13-15, and 18, Para 0018-0021, system provides equivalent information), in response to an inquiry, including downloading requested information from the server system and displaying requested information on the client system, the inquiry including utilizing at least one pull-down lists, check boxes. and hypertext links (Fig.14); notifying users of CA tasks to be performed at the selected location and the corresponding CA deadlines comprising transmitting an electronic

Art Unit: 3629

message to the client system from the server system notifying the user of a CA task to be performed (Fig.15, Today's Tasks, Key Reminders; Fig.18, Due Date; Para 0017, enabling information); and transmitting a CA task summary report to a manager responsible for managing compliance for a plurality of locations (Fig.18, Para 0073).

- 34. Krishnan fails to expressly disclose storing a plurality of *predetermined* audit checklists within the centralized database.
- 35. However, Krishnan does disclose storing a plurality of rules, regulations, and standards within the centralized database (Fig.4), and determining a customized audit report (checklist) for company specific sites/managers (Fig. 11; Para 0022, auditing checklists; Para 0124, reports equivalent to checklists).
- 36. Therefore, Krishnan system is considered by the Examiner to be an advancement on the instant application, an it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to simply generate a generic report of compliance needs based on the saved rules, regulations, and standards within the centralized database.
- 37. Furthermore, Krishnan fails to expressly disclose determining and providing/generating a summary report which indicates active (at a selected time) CA tasks, CA tasks completed year to date, total CA tasks year to date, percentage of CA tasks completed year to date, and total CA tasks past due for a plurality of locations assigned to a manager.
- 38. However, Krishnan does disclose wherein the system is designed to determine, track, and report a plurality of compliance assurance information (Fig.14, Para 0126). The Display tool shown in Fig.14 by Krishnan is designed to display all available compliance information separately or aggregated to user based on location and time, and it would

have been obvious to display the CA information to the user in any location/time/information combinational format (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] "The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results."). Furthermore, Krishnan disclosed collecting/saving the equivalent information and/or information necessary to determine the equivalent information (Fig.11 and Figs.13-15) disclosed by the instant application (CA tasks, CA tasks completed year to date, total CA tasks year to date, percentage of CA tasks completed year to date, and total CA tasks past due for a plurality of locations assigned to a manager is available to the system).

39. As per **independent Claim 33**, Krishnan discloses a computer program embodied on a computer readable medium for managing, storing, and disseminating CA information (Figs.3-4, Para 0013), said program comprising a code segment that receives CA information and then: maintains a database by adding, deleting and updating CA information (Figs. 7-9, complete questionnaire to determine risk profile and regulation applicability; Para 0016; Para 0077; Fig.4, Para 0128: New Compliance Standards, and Para 0102: Customer information Database updated through cyclical questionnaire process); displays on a client system a compliance calendar option (Fig.13), an audit tracking option (Fig.13, Tracking Action Items), and audit tool option (Para 0124, Para 0124, Fig.13, reports and policies links), wherein the compliance calendar option causes to be displayed on the client system CA tasks to be performed and corresponding CA deadlines for a selected location (Fig.13-15), wherein the audit tracking option prompts the user to input audit information for a selected location (Fig.14), and wherein the audit

Art Unit: 3629

Page 17

tool option prompts the user to select at least one audit checklist from the plurality of audit checklists stored within the database (Para 0124, operations and compliance reports equivalent to checklists); processing at the server an inquiry submitted by the user including a selection of one of the options displayed on the client system (Fig.13, user selects a reports or policies link; or Fig.14, user selects location and period); provides CA information in response to the inquiry (Fig.13, system provides reports for data entry; Fig.14, system provides location specific information); notifies users of CA tasks to be performed at a selected location and corresponding CA deadlines (Fig.15, Today's Tasks, Key Reminders; Fig.18, Due Date; Para 0017, enabling information); tracks the CA tasks to be performed at the selected location and the corresponding CA deadlines to ensure compliance (Fig.13; Para 0017-0018, track completion of tasks); and generates a CA task summary report to a manager responsible for managing compliance for a plurality of locations (Fig.18, Para 0073).

- 40. Krishnan fails to expressly disclose storing a plurality of *predetermined* audit checklists within the centralized database.
- 41. However, Krishnan does disclose storing a plurality of rules, regulations, and standards within the centralized database (Fig.4), and determining a customized audit report (checklist) for company specific sites/managers (Fig. 11; Para 0022, auditing checklists; Para 0124, reports equivalent to checklists).
- 42. Therefore, Krishnan system is considered by the Examiner to be an advancement on the instant application, an it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to simply generate a generic report of compliance needs based on the saved rules, regulations, and standards within the centralized database.

- 43. Furthermore, Krishnan fails to expressly disclose determining and providing/generating a summary report which indicates active (at a selected time) CA tasks, CA tasks completed year to date, total CA tasks year to date, percentage of CA tasks completed year to date, and total CA tasks past due for a plurality of locations assigned to a manager.
- 44. However, Krishnan does disclose wherein the system is designed to determine, track, and report a plurality of compliance assurance information (Fig.14, Para 0126). The Display tool shown in Fig.14 by Krishnan is designed to display all available compliance information separately or aggregated to user based on location and time, and it would have been obvious to display the CA information to the user in any location/time/information combinational format (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] "The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results."). Furthermore, Krishnan disclosed collecting/saving the equivalent information and/or information necessary to determine the equivalent information (Fig.11 and Figs.13-15) disclosed by the instant application (CA tasks, CA tasks completed year to date, total CA tasks year to date, percentage of CA tasks completed year to date, and total CA tasks past due for a plurality of locations assigned to a manager is available to the system).
- 45. As per Claim 34, discloses a code segment that provides at least one of: an option to filter CA calendars based on *at least one of site information*, CA task type, assigned contact person, and time frame (Fig. 15, site specific calendar); an option to filter CA audit

(Fig. 15, Site Specific tracking of tasks).

Art Unit: 3629

tracking system based on at least one of *site information*, CA task type, assigned contact person, and *time frame (Fig. 18)*; and an option to filter CA audit tool system based on at least one of *site information*, CA task type, assigned contact person, and *time frame* 

- 46. As per Claim 35, discloses a code segment that generates a display of *at least one of* business information, organizational information, *site information*, assigned contact person information, COE/department information, building information, CA audit tracking information, CA task information, CA calendar information, CA task reminder information, frequency of reminder information, environmental information, health and safety information, quality information, legal information, human resources information, management information, and corporate compliance information (Fig.14).
- 47. As per Claim 36, Krishnan discloses a code segment that accesses said database; a code segment that searches said database in response to an inquiry; a code segment that retrieves information from said database; a code segment that causes retrieved information to be displayed on a client system (Fig.14); a code segment that notifies a user of CA tasks and CA deadlines (Fig.13); and a code segment that causes a report summarizing the CA tasks and the CA deadlines for a site location to be displayed on a managerial user system (Fig.18).
- 48. As per Claim 38, Krishnan discloses a code segment that cross-references said CA calendar when a CA task is performed (Fig.13, system determines if Action Items are overdue).

49. As per Claim 39, Krishnan discloses a code segment that cross-references said CA calendar to at least one previously created CA calendar when CA information is received (Para 0122, Fig.13, notifying user of overdue action items).

50. As per Claim 40, Krishnan discloses a code segment that cross-references said CA calendar with said CA audit tracking system information (Para 0122, Fig.13, notifying user of overdue action items).

# Response to Arguments

- 51. Applicant's arguments filed 6/26/2007, with respect to Claims 1-6, 8-18, 20, 23-36, and 38-40, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 52. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 53. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

54. The Applicant's arguments have been addressed in the newly prepared rejection above.

# Conclusion

- 55. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (571) 272-6807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am 5:00pm.
- 56. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300 for all official communications.
- 57. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Office of Initial Patent Examination whose telephone number is (703) 308-1202.

September 2, 2007

Jonathan Ouellette Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600